



COMPUTER SYSTEMS CONSULTING

BY EMAIL

Without prejudice

February 28, 2021

TO: **Crown Peter Westgate**
cc: **Angela Rivet**
cc: **Det. Sgt. Bentham**

Re: **BLC21-033 – Robert Lepp – Daria Morgendorffer**

Dear Mr. Westgate,

Mr. Elder will confirm that at my trial on day 3, June 13, 2019, during questioning, Det. James Ward was asked to identify WHO gave him the “Daria Morgendorffer” screen capture of a review of my business on Google. To find my Google business review, one has to go LOOKING for it. It is not easy to find, and there is NO WAY that you can get sent alerts if it gets a response. ONLY The author gets the alert.

He replied “Jaclyn Solomon”.

Only the person using that pseudonym would have received the Google alert and KNOWN WHEN to look at my response and take a screen capture of it in a minute before I corrected it. Daria posted the review, and so ONLY she got a notification when I responded, ONLY DARIA KNEW.

So, Daria IS the author of the cat fishing review she wrote disparaging me.

I was charged and CONVICTED on the Daria screen capture of typing “Helen” and within one minute, changing it to “her”. Det. Ward provided it to the Crown in disclosure.


Inside that one minute, ****ONLY**** Daria got an email notifying her of my reply, and she clicked on it to go to Google and read my response. She saw “Helen” because she had VERY QUICKLY clicked. She captured the screen. **And a minute LATER**, “Helen” became “she”.

So. Daria saw that I had typed “Helen” by mistake, she captures it, and decides to tell Det Ward. But first she sent a copy of the capture to Helen Clarke. How did we know this? Because Det Ward FORGOT who sent him the screen capture. And when he was asked a second time “Where did you the Daria evidence?”

this time he said Helen Clarke.

Jaclyn as Daria, sent Helen a copy, Tweeted to Det Ward.

Today, “Daria” left a comment on my website.



The screenshot shows a comment from a user named Daria Morgendorffer. The user's profile includes a placeholder icon, the name 'Daria Morgendorffer', '0 approved', and three links: 'bobleppisamoron.com x', 'bo.blepp@gmail.com', and '154.21.114.89'. The comment text reads: 'You accused me of being several different people on your blog. You have not been correct in any of your predictions. Please remove any posts where you erroneously say that I am other people. I am not. You are wrong and your opinions are bad and remember when I said it was just beginning? How are you liking the ride? Kisses, Daria.' To the right of the comment, there is a blue link 'BL21- 0110 1011 – In Preparation....' with a 'View Post' link below it, and a timestamp '2021/02/24 at 7:49 am'. A small notification icon with the number '0' is also visible. At the bottom right of the screenshot, the date 'Feb 28 2021' is displayed in large blue text.

Now, I do not mind the taunting, it’s childlike, but then so am I.

Now, at my appeal March 5, I will emphasize who J. Harpur misinterpreted the evidence because he does not understand social media. He was lost. He had no idea that Ms Solomon had just CONFESSED to catfishing me.

In addition, I always reread what I publish... I make typing errors constantly. As soon as I saw “Helen”, even without “Clarke”, I knew I had technically breached, BUT, I quickly removed it.

So, I can prove NO mens rea, that I KNEW I was NOT breaching with just “Helen”, and I STILL took it out.

Jaclyn Solomon sent lots of these harassing emails to me as Daria, or Bo_blepp or one of her others. I am not asking any action on that harassment.

It is just at the appeal, I do not want to blindside you. Greg Elder KNEW Solomon was acting as Daria and so he KNEW Solomon was cat fishing me. I can prove that. He has no legal way to explain how he got the screen capture. If he tells the truth, that it was via Ward from Solomon, he admits complicity with police. If he claims it was Clarke, she has to explain where she got it.

Det. Ward has breached the public trust. He ADMITTED Daria was BOTH Helen and Jaclyn. He misrepresented evidence and who provided it.

Jaclyn Solomon as an LSO licensee has violated her oaths.

Falsus in uno, falsus in omnibus

Also, Mr Elder told J. Harpur on day 1, June 11, 2019 that J. Kenkel had ALREADY RULED on s.786, the 6 month limitation for alleged acts.

Elder told Harpur that J. Kenkel RULED that 18 months was ok, but he had NOT done any such thing.

So, day 1, June 11, without defense counsel permitted.... I asked J. Harpur to rule and her refused, saying Kenkel had already ruled.

So, on July 4, 2019 I moved before J Ghosh that a copy of Kenkel's "Motion dismissed" be sent to J. Harpur and he assured me he would do that.

J. Harpur STILL refused to rule. **That is an error in the law.**

In your case law, you argue that there is a precedent, BUT, J. Harpur did NOT RUKE EITEHR WAY so the 6 month rule persisted.

In the 7th month though 18th month, there was not a single harassing email.

So, your case law fails.

DARIA descends on Page 51 of the disclosure

www.avery.com 1-800-GO-AVERY

Use Avery™ Technology Patent Pending 5163™

Daria Morgendorffer

★ ★ ★ ★ ★ 11 minutes ago

This man was arrested on 9 charges of harassment for good reason.


Now he's trying to have the women who complained to police charged with public mischief. Oh, the irony.

"coach is here" is correct. This man is a menace who behaves as a privileged manbaby. Avoid him at all costs, for your own safety.

Like Share

Response from the owner 6 minutes ago

It was 9 charges. And just Arrested. NEVER convicted on any. It's just all Mayor Dawe and cops trying to cost me money. And if "harassment" is finally forcing Aurora to spend \$72,000 since 2004 on MY dog park, it was worth it. She got NOTHING since 2007. What the Town and others do not tell people is



Page 50 of 182

Case Name: LEPP,ROBERT

Case ID: 185107

Response from the owner 6 minutes ago

It was 9 charges. And just Arrested. NEVER convicted on any. It's just all Mayor Dawe and cops trying to cost me money. And if "harassment" is finally forcing Aurora to spend \$72,000 since 2004 on MY dog park, it was worth it. She got NOTHING since 2007. What the Town and others do not tell people is that Allan Downey had her working for free since 2007, and spending her OWN MONEY on fencing from 2007 to 2016 when she asked me for help during her cancer treatments. Within just 9 weeks of my embarrassing and demanding emails, I had them repairing gates and fence and spreading some chips. It took many embarrassing emails and posts. Within 1 year I had \$72K spent, whereas she got zero for 9 years of effort without me. Helen forgot she had signed a contract in 2013 and again in 2015 and Downey NEVER ONCE honoured it, he made her beg for help, then refused her anything, because he knew she needed the park for her business, and he knew she would do anything to keep making \$80K a year with no overheads. She got, in the period from 2007 to 2016, 9 years... zero dollars from Council and



Helen Clarke fumbles when asked to explain Daria's review....

25 A. It's not that I'm seeking out
information about him, it's that I'm seeking information
about myself and what's being put out to the general public
about myself.

30 Q. Now, does the name Daria Morgendorffer
mean anything to you? You've heard of that name?

 A. Yes, I believe that name was in a
Google review.

**If she does not know, how does she know
it was NOT her husband, or her daughter,
or her family?**

8
Helen Clarke, cr-ex.

 Q. That's a fake account your husband,
Mr. Clarke, created, correct?

 A. Incorrect. I don't know who created
that account. I'm sorry.

5 Q. Well, you'd agree with me that's how
you found out about Mr. Lepp had replied to or was - your
name had been mentioned on his blog. You found out about
that because of that review?

 A. No, I don't recall how - like I'm not
sure - I'm not sure what you're getting at, whether it was
I was reading his blog and I found out about the review or
whether I read the review and found out about the blog.
I'm not - which question are you asking about?

10 Q. Well, I'm simply stating that the Daria
Morgendorffer account is your husband's account.

 A. It is not my husband's account. It is
not my account. It is not my daughter's account. It is
not anyone in my family's account.

15 Q. But if you weren't reading Mr. Lepp's
blog back in...

 A. So.

20 Q. ...twenty --

Now she tries to cover up, She KNOWS Jaclyn sent her a copy, she HAD a copy, she forgets WHO gave the copy to Ward, SO she says it was HER to protect her husband?... good questioning technique,

15
A. It is not my husband's account. It is not my account. It is not my daughter's account. It is not anyone in my family's account.
20
Q. But if you weren't reading Mr. Lepp's blog back in...
A. So.
Q. ...twenty --
THE COURT: Just wait for the question, please.
25
THE WITNESS: Okay.
MS. TROMBLY: Q. If you weren't reading Mr. Lepp's blog back in 2018 then how would you have known that you were mentioned on it?
30
A. Jaclyn Solomon knew that he had breached his bail on that and I looked it up.
Q. Did you ever provide - so you never provided the Detective or the Crown any screen shots of a

Very quickly she denies 4 authors

Helen could NOT have looked it up JUST AS I HAD RESPONDED

It was already fixed.

9

Helen Clarke, cr-ex.

"I may have provided a screen shot"

5
Google review, did you?
A. I don't recall if I provided them the screen shot or whether I told them it was there and they went and looked for themselves, but I may have provided a screen shot of that, yes. I was aware of that review and I did go look at that review so I knew that he had breached his bail.
10
Q. Well, I'm going to suggest through Mr. Lepp responded to that review in seconds so you and your husband must have been notified somehow about this review.
A. As I mentioned, Jaclyn Solomon told me about the review.
Q. Now, Mr. Lepp had boarded his dogs with

Jaclyn Solomon TOLD ME

So, on February 28, 2021 when “Daria” wrote a comment in my blog, it was Solomon because onlky SHE had the password to the Daria Gmail account.

I have the complete dump of every time SOLOMON TWEETED to Det WARD at work.

She acted under cover for Ward, then CREATED Daria to cat fish me.

Det. Ward KNEW it was her.

He is in breach of the public’s trust for dealing with Solomon as an undercover agent when she was SUPPOSED to be representing her CLIENT and not playing Dick Tracy or Sherlock Holmes,

Thanks for listening.



Best regards,
Bob Lepp